wo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.		ORD	ER C	OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Mario Torres-Medina	Case Nun	nber:	<u>11-</u>	-6163M
and was repre					eld on April 22, 2011. Defendant was presen fendant is a flight risk and order the detention
		FINDINGS OF FACT	Γ		
find by a prep	ponderance of the evidence that:				
×	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.				
X	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.				
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custo Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been depor or otherwise removed.				
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
	The defendant lives/works in Mex	ico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.				
	There is a record of the defendant using numerous aliases.				
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
	The defendant is facing a maximu	ım of	<u>}</u>	years	imprisonment.
The C at the time of t	ourt incorporates by reference the mathemathe the mathemathe in this matter, except as	aterial findings of the Pre noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF L		Servic	es Agency which were reviewed by the Cour
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION				
a corrections fa appeal. The d of the United S	efendant is committed to the custod acility separate, to the extent practical efendant shall be afforded a reasona States or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the pu	y of the Attorney General able, from persons awaitinable opportunity for private the Government, the pe	or his ng or s e cons rson i n con	s/her of serving sultation in cha nection	designated representative for confinement in g sentences or being held in custody pending on with defense counsel. On order of a coun arge of the corrections facility shall deliver the on with a court proceeding.
IT IS O deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of	this detention order be fil-	ed wit	th the	District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to day prior to the hearing set before the Distric
IT IS F Services suffices	FURTHER ORDERED that if a releast ciently in advance of the hearing be be potential third party custodian.	se to a third party is to be fore the District Court to	consid allow	dered Preti	, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria ial Services an opportunity to interview and
DAT	ED this 22 nd day of April, 20	11.			
		- Sur			

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge